	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/029,516	ULERY ET AL.	· ·
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Trenton J. Roche	2193	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to	olication. If not included will be mailed in due of	l ourse. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to communications filed	28 February 2005 and 1 July 2005.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-19</u> .			
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> are accepted by	the Examiner.		
 4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" on the object. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 	been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply	national stage application	
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminional patent application (PTO-152) which give			TICE OF
 CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the 	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of	pack) of
DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL r	nust be submitted. No	ote the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	5. ☐ Notice of Informal P 6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat 8), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amendr 8. ☑ Examiner's Stateme 9. ☐ Other	(PTO-413), te nent/Comment	·

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is responsive to communications filed 28 February 2005 and 1 July 2005.
- 2. Per applicant's request, newly added claims 11-19, as presented in the reply filed 28 February 2005, have been entered. Amended claims 8 and 18, as presented in the reply filed 1 July 2005, have been entered. Claims 1-19 are now pending.
- 3. Claims 1-19 have been examined.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments, see pages 6-10 of the Remarks, filed 28 February 2005, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-8 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) and the rejection of claims 4, 9 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejections of claims 1-10 have been withdrawn.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

5. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312.

To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Christopher J. Rourk (Reg. No. 39,348) on 14 October 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 7 has been amended as such:

7. (Currently Amended) The method according to claim 1 further comprising the step of:

identifying a plurality of natural application boundaries in the source code, wherein each

application boundary identifies a transition point between two program objects in the source

code; and

wherein the step of placing a first plurality of directives further comprises placing the first plurality of directives in the source code at the natural application boundaries.

These changes were required to further clarify the claim and obviate any rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd.

Allowable Subject Matter & Examiner's Statement of Reason(s) for Allowance

- 3. Claims 1-19 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The closest found prior art of record, U.S. Patent 5,752,038 to Blake et al. (hereafter "Blake"), taken alone or in combination, fails to teach or reasonably suggest a method for executing a computer program in accordance with independent claim 1. Specifically, Blake does not teach at least placing a first plurality of directives in the source code to divide the computer program into the program objects, whereby an annotated computer program is produced; processing the annotated computer program to generate a

Application/Control Number: 10/029,516

Art Unit: 2193

description for each of the program objects; allocating the program objects to fixed locations in the memory of the target computer platform; porting the annotated computer program to the target computer program; generating an executable image of the annotated computer program, wherein the executable image is configured for execution on the target computer platform; and executing the executable image on the target computer program (claim 1). Similar limitations are recited in independent claims 9 and 11.

Instead, Blake is directed to a method and system for determining an optimal placement order for code portions within modules based on concurrency of usage of the code portions. The compiler of Blake will annotate source code with library routines for purposes of gathering execution data. The instrumented executable is executed and code portions are then re-ordered based upon execution statistics. However, Blake does not specifically recite the ability to allocate program objects or code portions to fixed locations in memory; the code portions are simply ordered in an optimal manner. Furthermore, Blake discloses a process of annotation that occurs during compilation, while the instant application discloses annotating prior to compilation of the source code, as noted on pages 6 and 7 of the Applicants' Remarks.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/029,516

Art Unit: 2193

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Trenton J. Roche whose telephone number is (571) 272-3733. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where

this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Trenton J Roche

Examiner

Art Unit 2193

TJR

KAKALI CHAKI

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100